



AD

41  
22  
25  
33  
AD 6352  
TA

CCL REPORT NO. 206

PROGRESS REPORT

INVESTIGATION OF ORGANIC PHOSPHATES  
AS CORROSION INHIBITORS FOR COOLANTS

BY

JAMES H. CONLEY

JUNE 1966

CLEANING HOUSE	
FOR DRYING	WET AND
TESTS	DRY
1000 150 22 ac	

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

U. S. ARMY COATING & CHEMICAL LABORATORY  
Aberdeen Proving Ground  
Maryland

**DDC AVAILABILITY NOTICE**

Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from Defense Documentation Center, Cameron Station, Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Copies Available at Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and Technical Information, CFSTI, \$1.00

**THE FINDINGS IN THIS REPORT ARE NOT TO BE CONSTRUED AS AN OFFICIAL DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY POSITION, UNLESS SO DESIGNATED BY OTHER AUTHORIZED DOCUMENTS.**

**DESTROY THIS REPORT WHEN IT IS NO LONGER NEEDED. DO NOT RETURN IT TO THE ORIGINATOR.**

UNCLASSIFIED

CCL REPORT NO. 206

PROGRESS REPORT

INVESTIGATION OF ORGANIC PHOSPHATES  
AS CORROSION INHIBITORS FOR COOLANTS

BY

JAMES H. CONLEY

JUNE 1966

AMCNS CODE NO. 5025.11.803

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY PROJECT NO.  
ICC24401A103

U.S. ARMY COATING AND CHEMICAL LABORATORY  
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND  
MARYLAND

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

UNCLASSIFIED

## ABSTRACT

The object of this study was to investigate the use of organic phosphates as corrosion inhibitors in coolant formulations. Glassware bench corrosion tests and simulated service tests were conducted on various organic acid phosphates in combination with other inhibitors such as sodium tetraborate (borax), sodium metaborate and sodium mercaptobenzothiazole (NACAP). Test data showed that these phosphates when exposed to hard water form non-crystalline precipitates that offer no deleterious effect to cooling systems. Test data also showed that these phosphates are effective corrosion inhibitors when used with a sodium borate buffer.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
<b>TITLE PAGE</b> .....	i
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	11
<b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....	1
<b>DETAILS OF TEST</b> .....	1 - 2
<b>RESULTS OF TESTS</b> .....	3
<b>DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS</b> .....	4
<b>RECOMMENDATIONS</b> .....	4
<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	4
<b>DISTRIBUTION LIST</b> .....	5 - 7
<b>APPENDIX A</b> .....	8
<b>Tables I - V</b> .....	8 - 18
<b>DD FORM 1473</b> .....	19

## I. INTRODUCTION

Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland was directed by AMC program directive AMCMS Code 5025.11.803 dated 3 September 1965 to investigate improved antifreeze mixtures.

Data in CCL Reports No. 125, 145, 155, 156 and 190 showed that the addition of an inorganic phosphate increased the inhibitor effectiveness, especially in a system containing cast iron and aluminum components. Even though the inorganic phosphate is an excellent inhibitor a crystalline precipitate of insoluble calcium phosphate often forms in the cooling system in the presence of hard water. The organic acid phosphates were investigated as replacements for the inorganic phosphates since the manufacturers had claimed these phosphates formed non-crystalline precipitates or no precipitates at all with hard waters.

This report contains the results of tests utilizing ethylene glycol base antifreeze compounds inhibited with organic acid phosphates in combination with sodium tetraborate (borax), sodium metaborate, and sodium mercaptobenzothiazole (NACAP).

## II. DETAILS OF TEST

### A. Glassware Bench Corrosion Test

Bench corrosion tests were conducted in accordance with the procedure outlined in LSD Report No. 205. This procedure involves the immersion of a set of six galvanically coupled metal test specimens (cast iron, aluminum, copper, solder, brass, steel) in a glass flask containing the test solution. The solution is aerated and refluxed at 180°F. for 192 hours, after which the metal test specimens are examined for evidence and extent of corrosion.

### B. Simulated Service Test

This test involves variations of the test outlined in LSD Report No. 205. The test consists of mechanical units arranged to permit the test solution to be circulated in a closed system at controlled circulation rate and temperature.

In this study the unit contained an aluminum or cast iron reservoir and aluminum or brass radiator. The pump was driven by an electric motor. The test temperature was maintained at 180°F. ± 5°F.

Metal test specimens as described in ASTM Method D1384 were arranged on a bracket and suspended in the reservoirs. After 2000 hours of operation or upon failure of the radiator the test was terminated and the metal specimens examined for evidence and extent of corrosion.

### C. Precipitation Test

A synthetic hard water made up of 1.5270 gms  $\text{Ca}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2)_2$  •  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  + 1.0496 gms  $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7 \text{H}_2\text{O}$  diluted to 1 gallon with distilled water. The resultant "hard water" has an equivalent hardness of 20 grains per gallon or 342 ppm as  $\text{CaCO}_3$ .

Two antifreeze solutions containing inorganic phosphates and three antifreeze compounds containing organic acid phosphates were diluted with the "hard water" and the volume of precipitate recorded. 100 ml. graduated centrifuge tubes were used to determine the precipitate volume.

### D. Test Solutions

Solutions used in the glassware bench corrosion tests included water and/or ethylene glycol inhibited with ethyl acid phosphate, tri lauryl phosphate, octyl phenyl acid phosphate, phenyl acid phosphate, iso octyl acid phosphate, the sodium salt of octyl phenyl acid phosphate, or the sodium salt of iso octyl acid phosphate. The minimum acid numbers of the organic acid phosphates range from 165 to 565.

Solutions used in the simulated service test included ethylene glycol-water mixtures inhibited with iso octyl acid phosphate in combination with sodium tetraborate (borax), sodium metaborate, and sodium mercaptobenzothiazole (NACAP).

Solutions used in the precipitation tests included Federal Specification 0-A-548a, Antifreeze, Type I with disodium phosphate added, ethylene glycol-borax-NACAP with iso octyl acid phosphate or di-2-ethyl hexyl phosphoric acid, and a commercial antifreeze containing inorganic phosphate. Each solution was prepared with "hard water" containing 342 ppm as  $\text{CaCO}_3$ .

### E. Numerical Rating System

A numerical rating system has been devised (see table V) which allows a comparison of test results based on weight loss and visual evidence of corrosion of the metal test specimens. An arbitrary value of 21 was selected as the point of demarkation between satisfactory and unsatisfactory results in the bench corrosion test and a value of 35 was chosen as the point of demarkation in the simulated service test. The arbitrary values were derived from results of glassware tests, simulated service tests, and field tests on various antifreeze formulations. The majority of the coolants tested that have a rating of 21 or less in the bench test and 35 or less in the simulated service test are expected to be satisfactory in the field.

### III. RESULTS OF TESTS

Results of tests are listed in Tables II thru IV of the Appendix. Data listed in Table II shows that in glassware bench corrosion tests phenyl acid phosphate, octyl phenyl acid phosphate and iso octyl acid phosphate are effective corrosion inhibitors offering increased protection to aluminum. The first five tests were preliminary screening tests conducted to decide which of the organic acid phosphates had promise as inhibitors for aluminum. The minimum acid numbers of the organic acid phosphates tested had a range from 165 to 565. The three organic acid phosphates that performed best had minimum acid numbers that ranged from 200 to 345. The sodium salts of iso octyl acid phosphate and octyl phenyl acid phosphate were prepared in the laboratory and were found to be adequate inhibitors as shown in Tests Nos. 6 thru 11. The organic acid phosphates offer protection to aluminum even when diluted with ASTM corrosive water containing 100 ppm of  $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  and  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  (Tests 16 and 17). Data shows that an antifreeze formulation with iso octyl acid phosphate buffered with borax is equally effective in a 30% and 50% solution while an antifreeze formulation with iso octyl acid phosphate buffered with sodium metaborate is more effective in a 50% solution than in a 30% solution (Tests Nos. 12 thru 15). Test results of the coolant that is presently in use in government vehicles are listed in Tests Nos. 18 and 19.

Simulated service test data listed in Table III of the Appendix shows that iso octyl acid phosphate in both the low pH range (borax buffered) and the high pH range (sodium metaborate buffered) is an effective inhibitor for aluminum although it is more effective in the low pH range. Tests Nos. 2 and 3 developed pump difficulty which could not be attributed to a faulty pump. Test No. 5 developed pump trouble early in the test which was attributed to a faulty pump.

Table IV shows that precipitation of inhibitors containing phosphates with "hard water" is reduced tenfold by replacing the inorganic phosphate with an organic acid phosphate. Iso octyl acid phosphate from two sources was used. Both showed 1% precipitate by volume and both were white soapy solids. In one the precipitate settled to the bottom and in the other it rose to the top.

The solution of Federal Specification O-A-548a Antifreeze, Type I with 0.12% disodium phosphate had 10% precipitate by volume. This was ten times the volume of precipitate from either of the two formulations containing iso octyl acid phosphate and from the formulation containing di-2-ethyl hexyl phosphoric acid. The commercial antifreeze is a 50% glycol/water solution as packaged and contains an inorganic phosphate. This formulation was diluted to 30% with the "hard water" and showed 3% (by volume) crystalline precipitate.

#### IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Previous studies showed that corrosion may be experienced in a cast iron-aluminum system after extended use and that the addition of disodium phosphate would provide improved protection to the aluminum components without imparting serious corrosion problems to the other cooling system metals. Tests conducted during this investigation show organic phosphates with minimum acid numbers in the range of 200 to 345 are equally as efficient as the disodium phosphate.

There has been some objections to the use of disodium phosphate in a system exposed to hard water due to the formation of crystalline precipitates. This would be eliminated by using an organic acid phosphate. The organic phosphate inhibited coolants in this study when exposed to "hard water" formed precipitates of finely dispersed soapy materials that measured one tenth that formed from the inorganic phosphate inhibited coolant.

#### V. RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the use of organic phosphates as inhibitors for coolants be investigated in the field since they overcome a major objection found in the use of inorganic phosphate inhibitors.

#### VI. REFERENCES

1. Authority: AMC Program Directive, AMCMS Code 5025.11.803 dated 3 September 1965.
2. Federal Specification O-A-548a, Antifreeze, Ethylene Glycol, Inhibited, dated 30 December 1958.
3. Laboratory Service Division Report No. 205 - Development of a Suitable Laboratory Bench Corrosion Test for Antifreeze Compounds and Inhibitors, dated 26 February 1954.
4. CCL Report No. 125 - Compatability of Coolants with Automotive Cooling Systems Containing Aluminum Components 1st Report, dated 21 June 1962.
5. CCL Report No. 145 - Final Report on Compatability of Coolants with Automotive Cooling Systems Containing Aluminum Components dated 14 June 1963.
6. CCL Report No. 155 - New Corrosion Inhibitors for Antifreeze, dated 16 January 1964.
7. CCL Report No. 156 - The Development of an Improved Cooling System Corrosion Inhibitor, dated 10 February 1964.
8. CCL Report No. 190 - Extended Use of Improved Cooling System Inhibitor - Field Evaluation - Interim Report, dated 3 December 1965.
9. ASTM Method D1384 Standard Method of Test for Engine Antifreeze-Glassware Corrosion Test.

**DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR AMCMS CODE NO. 5025.11.803**

<u>Department of Defense</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>
Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	20
<u>Department of the Army - Technical Service</u>	
Commanding General U.S. Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCRD-RC Washington, D. C. 20315	1
Continental Army Command Department of the Army Fort Monroe, Virginia 23351	3
Commanding General U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Center ATTN: Mr. J. P. Jones Warren, Michigan 48090	1
Commanding Officer Frankford Arsenal ATTN: SMUFA 1320 Library Philadelphia, Pa. 19137	1
Commanding Officer U.S. Army Materials Research Agency Watertown Arsenal ATTN: Technical Information Center Watertown, Massachusetts 02172	2
Commanding Officer Yuma Proving Ground Arizona 85364	1
Commanding General U.S. Army Weapons Command ATTN: AMSWE-RDR Rock Island, Illinois 61200	2
Commanding Officer U.S. Army Chemical Research & Development Laboratories ATTN: Librarian Edgewood Arsenal, Maryland 21040	1

DISTRIBUTION LIST CONTINUED

	<u>No. of Copies</u>
U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Laboratories ATTN: STINFO Branch Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060	2
Commanding Officer Rock Island Arsenal ATTN: Laboratory 9320 Rock Island, Illinois 61200	1
Commanding Officer U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratories ATTN: Mr. R. Eichelberger Mr. J. Sperrazza Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005	1
Technical Library Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005	2
Air Force Systems Command ATTN: STLO Bldg. 314, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005	1
<u>Department of the Navy</u>	
Department of the Navy c/o Navy Liaison Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005	:
Department of the Navy Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons Washington, D. C. 20360	1
<u>Other Government Agencies</u>	
Scientific and Technical Information Facility ATTN: NASA Representative (S-AK/DL) P.O. Box 5700 Bethesda, Maryland 20014	3
Chief, Input Section Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and Technical Information, CFSTI Sills Building 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, Virginia 22151	50

DISTRIBUTION LIST CONTINUED

<u>Foreign Address</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>
Commander British Army Staff British Embassy 3100 Massachusetts Ave., N. W. Washington, D. C.	2
Canadian Army Staff Canadian Liaison Office Headquarters, U.S. Army Materiel Command Washington, D. C.	2

## APPENDIX A

TABLE I

### COOLANT SOLUTIONS FOR BENCH TESTS

A - 50.0% Ethylene Glycol, 47.75% Water, 2.00% Borax, 0.20% NACAP, 0.05% Ethyl Acid Phosphate

B - 50.00% Ethylene Glycol, 47.72% Water, 2.00% Borax, 0.20% NACAP, 0.08% Phenyl Acid Phosphate

C - 50.0% Ethylene Glycol, 47.60% Water, 2.00% Borax, 0.20% NACAP, 0.20% Iso Octyl Acid Phosphate

D - 50.00% Ethylene Glycol, 47.90% Water, 2.00% Borax, 0.10% Iso Octyl Acid Phosphate

E - 47.80% Ethylene Glycol, 49.40% Water, 2.50% Borax Condensate, 0.20% NACAP, 0.10% Iso Octyl Acid Phosphate

F - 100% distilled water + 1.0% Borax, 0.2% MBT, 0.12% Sodium Iso Octyl Phosphate

G - 100% distilled water + 1.0% Borax, 0.2% MBT, 0.12% Sodium Octyl Phenyl Phosphate

H - 50% OA548a antifreeze, water contains 50 gms/gal ~ 75.7% Borax, 15.14% MBT, 9.16% Sodium Iso Octyl Phosphate

I - 30% OA548a Antifreeze, Water contains 50 gms/gal ~ 75.7% Borax, 15.14% MBT, 9.16% Sodium Iso Octyl Phosphate

J - 50% OA548a Antifreeze, Water contains 50 gms/gal ~ 75.7% Borax, 15.14% MBT, 9.16% Sodium Octyl Phenyl Phosphate

K - 30% OA548a Antifreeze, Water contains 50 gms/gal ~ 75.7% Borax, 15.14% MBT, 9.16% Sodium Octyl Phenyl Phosphate

L - 90.7% Ethylene Glycol, 5.0% Water, 4.0% Borax, 0.3% Iso Octyl Acid Phosphate diluted to 50% with distilled water

M - 90.7% Ethylene Glycol, 5.0% Water, 4.0% Borax, 0.3% Iso Octyl Acid Phosphate diluted to 30% with distilled water

N - 93.0% Ethylene Glycol, 3.5% distilled water, 3.0% Sodium Metaborate, 0.3% Iso Octyl Acid Phosphate, 0.2% NACAP diluted to 30% with distilled water

TABLE I - Cont'd

COOLANT SOLUTIONS FOR BENCH TESTS

---

- O - 93.0% Ethylene Glycol, 3.5% Water, 3.0% Sodium Metaborate, 0.2% NACAP, 0.3% Iso Octyl Acid Phosphate diluted to 50% with distilled water
- P - Same as "O" except ASTM corrosive water used for dilution
- Q - Same as "O" except ASTM corrosive water used for dilution
- R - 30% OA548a Antifreeze, Water contains 48.6 gms/gal 75.7% Borax, 15.14% MBT, 9.16% Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>
- S - 50% OA548a Antifreeze, Water contains 48.6 gms/gal - 75.7% Borax, 15.14% MBT, 9.16% Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>

---

TABLE II

GLASSWARE BENCH CORROSION TESTS

Test No.	1	2	3	4	5
Coolant	A	B	C	D	E
pH Before	7.50	7.40	7.48	7.40	7.42
pH After	7.50	7.40	7.30	7.30	7.30
R.A. Before	11.20	11.20	11.00	10.80	3.30
R.A. After	10.90	10.90	10.80	10.20	3.30
Visual inspection and wt. change in mg/sq. cm.					
Aluminum	H. Black Coating	Black	O.K.	O.K.	O.K.
Copper	Stained	Stained	V.Sl.Stain	Sl. Stain	V.Sl.Stain
Solder	O.K.	O.K.	O.K.	O.K.	O.K.
Brass	Sl.Coating	Sl.Stain	V.Sl.Stain	Sl.Stain	V.Sl.Stain
Steel	O.K.	O.K.	O.K.	O.K.	O.K.
Cast Iron	O.K.	Sl.Stain	O.K.	O.K.	O.K.
CCl Rating	--	--	--	--	--

Remarks: Preliminary tests conducted without weighing the strips.

TABLE II - Cont'd.

## GLASSWARE BENCH CORROSION TESTS

Test No.	6	7	8	9
Coolant F	6	6	H	1
pH Before	8.90	8.85	7.48	7.82
pH After	8.88	8.75	7.48	7.70
R.A. Before	5.50	5.50	10.60	8.70
R.A. After	5.40	5.50	10.60	8.30
Visual Inspection and Wt. Change in Mg/sq cm				
= Aluminum	Yellow	-3.33	Yellow	-3.38
Copper	Sl. Stain	+0.06	Mod. Stain	+0.11
Solder	Stained	-0.08	Stained	-0.04
Brass	V.Sl. Stain	+0.09	V.Sl. Stain	+0.15
Steel	Sl. Stain	+0.07	Sl. Stain	+0.06
Cast Iron	Sl. Stain	+0.11	Sl. Stain	+0.14
CCL Rating	19	8	17	

TABLE II - Cont'd.

## **GLASSWARE BENCH CORROSION TESTS**

Test No.	10	11	12	13
coolant	J	K	L	M
pH Before	7.52	7.80	7.45	7.82
pH After	7.52	7.70	7.43	7.82
R.A. Before	10.60	8.70	11.50	6.90
R.A. After	10.30	8.40	11.35	6.90
Visual Inspection and Wt. Change In Mg/sq cm				
Aluminum	Grey	-0.15	Black	-0.22
Copper	Sl. Stain	+0.01	V.Sl. Stain	+0.02
Solder	O.K.	-0.02	O.K.	-0.01
Brass	V.Sl. Stain	+0.02	V.Sl. Stain	+0.01
Steel	O.K.	-0.01	O.K.	0
Cast Iron	O.K.	0	-0.08	Mod. Stain
CCL Rating	10	11	12	14

TABLE II - Cont'd.

## GLASSWARE BENCH CORROSION TESTS

Test No.	14	15	16	17
Coolant	N	0	P	Q
pH Before	8.88	8.58	8.89	8.53
pH After	8.78	8.52	8.89	8.53
R.A. Before	7.50	12.30	7.50	12.35
R.A. After	7.30	12.10	7.45	12.10

Visual Inspection  
and Wt. Change in  
Mg./sq cm

Aluminum	Greenish Black	-0.77	Sl. Stain	-0.02	Golden Light Pittng	-0.67	Black	-0.41
Copper	Mod. Stain	+0.09	St. Stain	+0.01	Sl. Stain	+0.01	Sl. Stain	-0.06
Solder	Tinned	-0.45	0.K.	-0.02	Mod. Stain	-1.35	V. Sl. Stain	-0.38
Brass	Mod. Stain	+0.12	V. Sl. Stain	+0.02	Sl. Stain	-0.02	V. Sl. Stain	-0.06
Steel	V. Sl. Stain	+0.03	0.K.	0	Sl. Stain	+0.04	O.K.	+0.01
Cast Iron	V. Sl. Stain	+0.07	0.K.	+0.01	Sl. Stain	+0.05	O.K.	-0.01

CCL Rating

20                    9                    23                    17

TABLE II - Cont'd.  
GLASSWARE BENCH CORROSION TESTS

Test No.	18	19
Coolant	R	S
pH Before	7.78	7.50
pH After	7.78	7.50
R.A. Before	9.00	10.70
R.A. After	8.70	10.50
Visual Inspection and Wt. Change in Mg. sq cm		
Aluminum	Dark Grey	-0.15
Copper	V. Si. Stain	0
Solder	O.K.	-0.08
Brass	V. Si. Stain	+0.02
Steel	O.K.	-0.03
Cast Iron	O.K.	-0.07
CCL Rating		
	10	9

TABLE III

Metal Components	SIMULATED SERVICE TESTS		
	Cast Iron Reservoir- Brass Radiator	Cast Iron Reservoir- Brass Radiator	Cast Iron Reservoir- Brass Radiator
Test No.	1	2	3
Coolant Solution	90.7% Ethylene Glycol 5.0% Water 4.0% Borax 0.3% Iso Octyl Acid Phosphate Diluted to 50% with distilled water	93.0% Ethylene Glycol 3.5% Water 3.0% Sodium Metaborate 0.2% NACAP 0.3% Iso Octyl Acid Phosphate Diluted to 50% with distilled water	93.0% Ethylene Glycol 3.5% Water 3.0% Sodium Metaborate 0.2% NACAP 0.3% Iso Octyl Acid Phosphate Diluted to 30% with distilled water
Total Hrs. of Operation	2003	1655*	2002*
pH Before	7.43	8.58	8.88
pH After	6.93	8.35	8.68
RA Before	11.60	12.30	7.50
RA After	7.50	10.90	8.20
Visual Inspection and Wt. Change mg./sq.cm.			
Aluminum	Light Grey	-0.01	Green-Pitted
Copper	H. Stain	-8.33	H. Stain
Solder	O.K.	+0.01	Si. Stain
Brass	H. Stain	-0.01	Si. -Mod. Stain
Steel	V. Si. Stain	-0.11	-0.02
Cast Iron	Si. Stain	-0.03	V. Si. Stain
CCL Rating	20	+0.01	+0.01
		+0.07	Si. Stain
			+0.07
Test stopped at 1655 hrs. Solution leaked badly at the pump.			
*Remarks			
Pump replaced at 1209 hrs.			

TABLE III - Cont'd.

		SIMULATED SERVICE TESTS		
Metal Components	Cast Iron Reservoir-Aluminum Radiator	Aluminum Reservoir-Brass Radiator	Aluminum Reservoir-Aluminum Radiator	
Test No.	4	5	6	
Coolant Solution	90.7% Ethylene Glycol 5.0% Water 4.0% Borax 0.3% Iso Octyl Acid Phosphate Diluted to 50% with distilled water	90.7% Ethylene Glycol 5.0% Water 4.0% Borax 0.3% Iso Octyl Acid Phosphate Diluted to 50% with distilled water	90.7% Ethylene Glycol 5.0% Water 4.0% Borax 0.3% Iso Octyl Acid Phosphate Diluted to 50% with distilled water	90.7% Ethylene Glycol 5.0% Water 4.0% Borax 0.3% Iso Octyl Acid Phosphate Diluted to 50% with distilled water
Total Hrs. of Operation	2005	2005*	2053	
pH Before	7.49	7.43	7.48	
pH After	7.28	6.90	7.33	
R.A. Before	11.70	11.60	11.75	
R.A. After	8.90	7.50	9.80	
Visual Inspection and Wt. Change mg/sq.cm.				
Aluminum	V.SI. Stain	-0.02	V.SI. Stain	+0.31
Copper	Etched	-5.92	H.Stain	-0.68
Solder	V.SI. Stain	-0.01	V.SI. Stain	+0.02
Brass	Mod. Stain	-2.13	Mod. Stain	-0.34
Steel	V.SI. Stain	+0.01	V.SI. Stain	+0.02
Cast Iron	V.SI. Stain	+0.06	V.SI. Stain	+0.09
CCL Rating		25	20	
*Remarks				Replaced faulty pump at 555 hrs.

TABLE IV

## INHIBITOR PRECIPITATION IN HARD WATER

Coolant Solution <sup>3</sup>	Precipitate % by Volume
0-A-548a Antifreeze + 0.12% Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub>	10% (crystalline)
90.7% Ethylene Glycol, 5.0% water, 4.0% Borax, 0.3% Iso Octyl Acid Phosphate	1%
90.7% Ethylene Glycol, 5.0% Water, 4.0% Borax, 0.3% Iso Octyl Acid Phosphate. <sup>1</sup>	1% - Floats on top
90.7% Ethylene Glycol, 5.0% Water, 4.0% Borax, 0.3% Di-2-Ethyl Hexyl Phosphoric Acid	1% Yellowish
Commercial Antifreeze containing Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2</sup>	3% (Crystalline)

<sup>1</sup>Phosphate free of pyro and poly phosphates<sup>2</sup>Antifreeze as packaged 50% solution  
Diluted to 30% with hard water<sup>3</sup>50% solutions with hard waterSynthetic Hard Water

1.5270 gms Ca (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub>O)<sub>2</sub> · H<sub>2</sub>O  
 1.0496 gms MgSO<sub>4</sub>  
 Dissolved in 1 gallon distilled water  
 Hardness = 20 grains = 342 PPM as CaCO<sub>3</sub>

TABLE V

NUMERICAL RATING SYSTEM FOR METAL TEST SPECIMENS

mg loss/sq.cm.	Rating
.00 - .10	1
.11 - .20	2
.21 - .30	3
.31 - .40	4
.41 - .50	5
.51 - 1.00	6
1.01 - 3.00	7
3.01 - 7.00	8
7.01 - 14.00	9
14.01 - 50.00	10
50.01 +	11

All weight gains regardless of how much have a rating of 1.  
Add 1 to each strip that is not visually perfect.

Unclassified

Security Classification

**DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D**

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1 ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) <b>U. S. Army Coating and Chemical Laboratory Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland</b>		2a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION <b>Unclassified</b>
		2b GROUP
3. REPORT TITLE <b>INVESTIGATION OF ORGANIC PHOSPHATES AS CORROSION INHIBITORS FOR COOLANTS</b>		
4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTE: (Type of report and inclusive dates) <b>Progress report</b>		
5 AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) <b>Conley, James H.</b>		
6 REPORT DATE <b>June 1966</b>	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES	7b. NO. OF REFS <b>9</b>
8a CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. <b>AMCNS Code No. 5025.11.803</b>	9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) <b>CCL #206</b>	
b PROJECT NO. <b>IC024401A109</b>	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
c		
d.		
10 AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from Defense Documentation Center. Distribution of this document is unlimited. Copies available at Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and Technical Information.		
11 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY <b>U.S. Army Materiel Command Washington, D. C. 20315</b>	
13. ABSTRACT The object of this study was to investigate the use of organic phosphates as corrosion inhibitors in coolant formulations. Glassware bench corrosion tests and simulated service tests were conducted on various organic acid phosphates in combination with other inhibitors such as sodium tetraborate (borax), sodium metaborate and sodium mercaptobenzothiazole (NACAP). Test data showed that these phosphates when exposed to hard water form non-crystalline precipitates that offer no deleterious effect to cooling systems. Test data also showed that these phosphates are effective corrosion inhibitors when used with a sodium borate buffer.		

**Unclassified**  
Security Classification

14.  KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
<b>Antifreeze</b> <b>Organic Phosphate Inhibitor</b> <b>Hard water precipitates</b> <b>Inhibition of aluminum</b>						
INSTRUCTIONS						
<p>1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.</p> <p>2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.</p> <p>2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DOD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.</p> <p>3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.</p> <p>4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.</p> <p>5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.</p> <p>6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.</p> <p>7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.</p> <p>7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report.</p> <p>8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.</p> <p>8b, 8c, &amp; 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.</p> <p>9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.</p> <p>9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).</p>						
<p>10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICE: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:</p> <p>(1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."</p> <p>(2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."</p> <p>(3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through ."</p> <p>(4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through ."</p> <p>(5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through ."</p> <p>If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.</p> <p>11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.</p> <p>12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.</p> <p>13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.</p> <p>It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).</p> <p>There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.</p> <p>14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical content. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.</p>						

**Unclassified**  
Security Classification